

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,587	02/22/2007	Tetsuro Sato	3209-111	2274	
GREENBLUN	7590 08/17/2011 4 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1950 Roland Clarke Place			JACKSON, MONIQUE R		
Reston, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1787		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/17/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/565,587	SATO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MONIQUE JACKSON	1787		

	MONIQUE JACKSON	1787					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>\( \)\[ \]\[ \]\ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliand time periods:</li> </ol>	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) Mean the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITTWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MEPE 708.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.198(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered bec         (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);         (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);     </li> </ol>							
<ul><li>(c) M They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ol>	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·						
7. \( \bigcirc \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ii be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ls to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. \( \sums \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \( \sums \) it is directed to the unentered claim amendments.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13.  Other:							
	/Monique R Jackson/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1787					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The exclusion of the 2 micron endpoint is a new issue and may constitute new matter.